

REMARKS

Claims 1-10, and 14-18 are pending in the present application, with only claim 1 being independent. All Claims stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ceccarelli. Claims 1 and 19 are additionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 paragraph 1 due to the presence of the words "holes or via", which, according to the Patent Office, is unsupported by the specification.

By the present amendment, independent claim 1 has been amended to delete the words "holes or via." It is noted however, that applicant considers that the words are synonymous with opening in that all three convey the idea that a physical opening is created in the first media, and that the second media is positioned in that opening. Accordingly, the rejection under section 112 is obviated and should be withdrawn. Similarly, claim 1 has been amended to make clear that the static medium is cast is a first physical structure that has holes formed through it, and that the dynamic regions on a second physical structure different than the first, and that the second physical structure is positioned in the openings formed through the static regions. It is clear that the Ceccarelli reference does not teach or suggest this in any way, as both the static and the dynamic renderings are cast on the same media, specifically a computer screen.. Based on the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the remaining pending claims, as amended, are in condition for allowance.